

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/580,199	OOTSUKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	SURESH K. SURYAWANSHI	2115	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) SURESH K. SURYAWANSHI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

(2) W. Douglas Hahm (Reg. No. 44,142).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

*None*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicants agreed for an examiner's amendment to correct the abstract.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Suresh K Suryawanshi/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2115

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)